

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/822,234	CUDDIHY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Son M. Tang	2612

All Participants:

(1) Son M. Tang.

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____.

(2) Jenifer Haeckl (Attorney).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 August 2006

Time: 1:00pm.

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-2, 8, 11, 15-19 and 25

Prior art documents discussed:

Ichikawa [US 5,153,560]

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant authorized the attached Examiner Amendment to place application in condition for allowance. See attached Examiner Amendment for detail.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)